

Company:	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind	Asset:	Whole Asset		
Project:	Whole Wind Farm	Sub Project/Package:	Whole Asset		
Document Title or Description:	Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance				
Internal Document Number:	PP1-ODOW-DEV-CS-REP-0103	3 rd Party Doc No (If applicable):	N/A		

Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind accepts no liability for the accuracy or completeness of the information in this document nor for any loss or damage arising from the use of such information.

Rev No.	Date	Status / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Reviewed by	Approved by
1.0	March 2024	DCO Application	GoBe	GoBe	Shepherd and Wedderburn	Outer Dowsing

Table of Contents

A	cronyr	ns & Terminology	5
	Abbre	eviations / Acronyms	5
	Termi	inology	6
R	eferen	ce Documentation	9
1	Intr	roduction	10
	1.1	Project Background	10
	1.2	Purpose of this document	10
2	UX	O Clearance Scenarios	12
3	Sun	nmary of Potential Impact Ranges	13
4	Mit	igation Methodology	16
	4.1	Introduction	16
	4.2	Mitigation Zone	16
	4.3	Pre-UXO Clearance	17
	4.4	Noise Abatement	19
	4.5	Delays in Commencement of UXO Detonation	19
	4.6	Communications	19
	4.7	Reporting	20
5	Ref	erences	21

Table of Tables

Table 3.1: PTS-onset impact ranges (in km) for UXO detonation using as per the impulsive noise criteria from Southall et al. (2019). For all charge sizes above 25kg a donor of 0.5kg is assumed.....14

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

Outline Plans Document Reference: 8.6.2 March 2024

Acronyms & Terminology

Abbreviations / Acronyms

Abbreviation / Acronym	Description
ADD	Acoustic Deterrent Device
dB	Decibel
DCO	Development Consent Order
dML	deemed Marine Licence
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPS	European Protected Species
ES	Environmental Statement
HF	High Frequency
HRA	Habitat Regulations Assessment
JNCC	Joint Nature Conservation Committee
kg	Kilogram
km	Kilometre
km ²	Square Kilometre
LF	Low Frequency
m	Meter
MDS	Maximum Design Scenario
ML	Marine Licence
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
MMOb	Marine Mammal Observer
m/s	Metres per second
NAS	Noise Abatement System
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
ODOW	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (The Project)
ОР	Offshore Platform
OWF	Offshore WindFarm
PAM	Passive Acoustic Monitoring
PTS	Permanent Threshold Shift
ROV	Remote Operated Vehicle
SEL	Sound Exposure Level
SEL _{cum}	Cumulative Sound Exposure Level
SIP	Site Integrity Plan
SPL	Sound Pressure Level
SPL _{peak}	Peak Sound Pressure Level
SNCB	Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies
SNS	Southern North Sea
SoS	Secretary of State
UK	United Kingdom
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance
cUXO	confirmed Unexploded Ordnance
pUXO	potential Unexploded Ordnance

Abbreviation / Acronym	Description
VHF	Very High Frequency
WBD	White Beaked Dolphin
WCS	Worst-case Scenario
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator
μРа	Micropascal

Terminology

Term	Definition			
Array Area	The area offshore within which the generating station (including wind			
	turbine generators (WTG) and inter array cables), offshore			
	accommodation platforms, offshore transformer substations and			
	associated cabling will be positioned.			
Baseline	The status of the environment at the time of assessment without the			
	development in place.			
deemed Marine Licence	A marine licence set out in a Schedule to the Development Consent			
(dML)	Order and deemed to have been granted under Part 4 (marine			
	licensing) of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009.			
Designated Site	Sites designated for nature conservation under the Habitats Directive			
	and Birds Directive. This includes candidate Special Areas of			
	Conservation (SAC), Sites of Community Importance, Special			
	Protection Areas (SPA), and is defined in Regulation 8 of the			
	Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.			
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development			
Order (DCO)	consent for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) from			
	the Secretary of State (SoS) for Department for Energy Security & Net			
	Zero (DESNZ).			
Effect	Term used to express the consequence of an impact. The significance			
	of an effect is determined by correlating the magnitude of an impact			
	with the sensitivity of a receptor, in accordance with defined			
FIA Dec Jel'ess	significance criteria.			
EIA Regulations	Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment)			
Environmental Insurant	Regulations 2017.			
Environmental Impact	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be			
Assessment (EIA)	assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves			
	the collection and consideration of environmental information, which			
	fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Regulations, including			
Evidence Plan	the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).			
Evidence Fian	A voluntary process of stakeholder consultation with appropriate Expert Topic Groups (ETGs) that discusses and, where possible, agrees			
	the detailed approach to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)			
	and information to support Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) for			
	those relevant topics included in the process, undertaken during the			
	pre-application period.			
	b.c application period.			

Term	Definition
Habitat Regulations	A process which helps determine likely significant effects and (where
Assessment (HRA)	appropriate) assesses adverse effects on the integrity of European conservation sites and Ramsar sites. The process consists of up to four stages of assessment: screening, appropriate assessment, assessment of alternative solutions, assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI) and compensatory measures.
Impact	An impact to the receiving environment is defined as any change to its baseline condition, either adverse or beneficial.
Landfall	The location at the land-sea interface where the offshore export cable will come ashore.
Maximum Design Scenario	The maximum design parameters of the combined project assets that result in the greatest potential for change in relation to each impact assessed.
Mitigation	Mitigation measures, or commitments, are commitments made by the Project to reduce and/or eliminate the potential for significant effects to arise as a result of the Project. Mitigation measures can be embedded (part of the Project Design) or secondarily added to reduce impacts in the case of significant effects.
Offshore Export Cable Corridors (ECC)	The Offshore Export Cable Corridor (Offshore ECC) is the area within the Order Limits within which the export cables running from the array to landfall will be situated.
Offshore Substation (OSS)	A structure attached to the seabed by means of a foundation, with one or more decks and a helicopter platform (including bird deterrents), containing— (a) electrical equipment required to switch, transform, convert electricity generated at the wind turbine generators to a higher voltage and provide reactive power compensation; and (b) housing accommodation, storage, workshop auxiliary equipment, radar and facilities for operating, maintaining and controlling the substation or wind turbine generators
Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (ODOW)	The Project.
Order Limits	The area subject to the application for development consent, The limits shown on the works plans within which the Project may be carried out.
Peak Sound Pressure Level	Characterised as a transient sound from impulsive noise sources, it is the maximum change in positive pressure as the wave propagates.
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	The PEIR was written in the style of a draft Environmental Statement (ES) and provided information to support and inform the statutory consultation process during the pre-application phase.
Pre-construction	The phases of the Project before construction takes place.
Sound Exposure Level	Measure that considers both the received level of the sound and duration of exposure.
Sound Pressure Level	Measure of the sound pressure within the water column.
Receptor	A distinct part of the environment on which effects could occur and can be the subject of specific assessments. Examples of receptors

Term	Definition
	include species (or groups) of animals or plants, people (often
	categorised further such as 'residential' or those using areas for
	amenity or recreation), watercourses, etc.
The Applicant	GT R4 Ltd. The Applicant making the application for a DCO. The
	Applicant is GT R4 Limited (a joint venture between Corio Generation,
	TotalEnergies and Gulf Energy Development (GULF)), trading as Outer
	Dowsing Offshore Wind. The project is being developed by Corio
	Generation (a wholly owned Green Investment Group portfolio
	company), TotalEnergies and GULF.
The Project	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind including the proposed offshore and
	onshore infrastructure.
Wind Turbine Generator	A structure comprising a tower, rotor with three blades connected at
(WTG)	the hub, nacelle and ancillary electrical and other equipment which
	may include J-tube(s), transition piece, access and rest platforms,
	access ladders, boat access systems, corrosion protection systems,
	fenders and maintenance equipment, helicopter landing facilities and
	other associated equipment, fixed to a foundation

Reference Documentation

Document Number	Title
6.1.3	Project Description
6.11.1	Marine Mammals
6.3.3.2	Underwater Noise Assessment

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO

Clearance)

Outline Plans Document Reference: 8.6.2 March 2024

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Background

1. GT R4 Limited, (trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (ODOW), hereafter referred to as the "Applicant"), is proposing to develop Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind ("the Project"). The Project array area will be located approximately 54km from the Lincolnshire coastline in the southern North Sea. It will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure including an offshore generating station (windfarm), export cables to landfall, Offshore Reactive Compensation Platforms (ORCP), onshore cables, connection to the electricity transmission network, ancillary and associated development areas for the delivery of up to two Artificial Nesting Structures (ANS) for the creation and recreation of a biogenic reef (if these compensation measures are deemed to be required by the Secretary of State) ((see Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description (document reference 6.1.3) for full details).

1.2 Purpose of this document

- 2. The primary objective of this Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP) for Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Clearance is to detail the potential contingency measures which could be used by the Project to manage the risk of permanent threshold shift (PTS) auditory injury to marine mammal species arising from UXO clearance operations to a negligible level. This document incorporates guidance from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) regarding the use of Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADD) (McGarry, 2020), and established industry best practices.
- 3. The measures outlined in this document should be considered as examples of potential mitigation measures which could be employed by the Project at the point of construction to provide confidence to stakeholders that the proposed MMMP will be sufficient to ensure the risk of injury is as low as reasonably practicable. It is not intended to identify specific mitigation measures that will be implemented during UXO clearance as this will be determined prior to construction by the Project in consultation with the regulators and their advisors as part of the application for a Marine Licence for UXO clearance. Prior to the commencement of offshore construction for the Project, a marine licence will be applied for to consent the investigation of potential UXOs (pUXO) and the clearance of confirmed UXO (cUXO); a formal UXO clearance MMMP will be drafted and submitted as part of the marine licence application, which will be based on the best available evidence at that point in time.
- 4. Whilst the clearance of UXO will be licensed through a separate consent due to the degree of uncertainty regarding the number of UXO which may need clearing, as a reasonably foreseeable activity, the impacts from UXO clearance have been assessed within the relevant chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) alongside the Development Consent Order (DCO) application. The Project has developed commitments during the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process to minimise potential impacts to marine mammals, which involves the creation and implementation of an UXO clearance MMMP ((see Volume 1, Chapter 11: Marine Mammals (document reference 6.11.1) for full details).

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance Document Reference: 8.6.2

5.	Therefore, this Outline UXO MMMP is intended to demonstrate that effective mitigation measures are available to mitigate the impacts of UXO clearance to negligible, however the actual measures proposed within the marine licence application pre-construction will be based on best practise and up to date evidence, at that point in time, rather than being constrained by the options outlined herein.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

2 UXO Clearance Scenarios

- 6. The need for UXO clearance is expected before construction of the Project. This requirement arises from the proximity of the Project area to historical military airfields and coastal towns of strategic importance during World War Two. While efforts will be made to avoid any underwater UXO, it is essential to address the possibility of underwater UXO detonation when retrieval is unsafe, or avoidance is impractical.
- 7. At this stage of the Project, the Applicant is unable to quantify the number of potential UXO detonations which may be required prior to construction. A magnetometer survey (to identify ferrous contacts) would be performed within the array area, export cable corridor, and any other construction areas such as nesting structure installation sites. This would be performed prior to construction and the results of which would identify potential UXO and UXO hazards. Where identified ferrous contacts are confirmed as UXO, or possibly UXO, verification or dismissal as suspect UXO shall first be sought via corroboration with dimensions of common charge sizes and types. In some instances where such corroboration is inconclusive, visual assessment by Remotely Operated Vehicle may be needed to confirm. It is important that these surveys and the associated clearance campaigns are undertaken much closer to the point of construction to ensure that any determination of the risk to the construction remains as low as reasonably possible (ALARP), with these certificates being time limited in part due to the risk of migration of previously unidentified UXO into the construction area.
- 8. It is not currently known the size or type of the UXO that could be present in the area, therefore a range of charge sizes have been considered in Chapter 11 (document reference 6.11.1) and Volume 3, Appendix 3.2: Underwater noise assessment (document reference 6.3.3.2)), with a maximum charge weight of up to 800kg + 0.5 kg donor charge assumed. The assumptions used throughout the ES and this MMMP are predicated based on the maximum charge sizes recorded at neighbouring offshore windfarms or the maximum charges consented within the relevant marine licence applications for those projects.
- 9. The maximum charge weight assumed herein is therefore considered to provide a good baseline for predicting and measuring the worst-case effects of any UXO that could be encountered within the Project area.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

3 **Summary of Potential Impact Ranges**

10. An estimation of source level and predicated PTS-onset impact ranges were calculated for a range of expected UXO sizes and presented in Table 3.1. The maximum charge weight for the potential UXO devices that could be present within the Project Order Limits has been estimated as 800kg. This has been modelled alongside a range of smaller high-order charges at 25, 55, 120, 240, 525 and 700kg. In addition, a low-order deflagration has been modelled, which assumes that the donor or shaped-charge (charge weight 0.5kg¹) detonates fully but without the followup detonation of the UXO. No mitigation measures have been considered for the modelling of the impact ranges from the detonation of high-order and low-order charges.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO

Clearance

¹ It should be noted that a charge weight of 0.5kg is considered highly conservative for a low order charge based on the results of Robinson et al. (2022).

Table 3.1: PTS-onset impact ranges (in km) for UXO detonation using as per the impulsive noise criteria from Southall *et al.* (2019). For all charge sizes above 25kg a donor of 0.5kg is assumed.

Species	Threshold	Charge size							
		0.5kg	25kg + donor	55kg + donor	120kg + donor	240kg + donor	525kg + donor	700kg + donor	800kg + donor
Unweighted SPL _{peak}									
Harbour porpoise (<i>Phocoena</i> <i>phocoena</i>)	202dB re 1μPa (VHF)	1.2	4.6	6.0	7.8	9.8	12.0	14.0	14.0
Bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) & white-beaked dolphin (Lagenorhynchus albirostris)	230 dB re 1μPa (HF)	0.07	0.26	0.34	0.45	0.56	0.73	0.81	0.84
Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata)	219 dB re 1μPa (LF)	0.22	0.82	1.0	1.3	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.6
Harbour seal (Phoca vitulina) & grey seal (Halichoerus grypus)	218 dB re 1μPa (PCW)	0.24	0.91	1.1	1.5	1.9	2.5	2.7	2.8
Weighted SELss									
Harbour porpoise	155 dB re 1μPa (VHF)	0.11	0.57	0.74	0.95	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.6
Bottlenose dolphin & white-beaked dolphin	185 dB re 1μPa (HF)	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06

Outline Plans

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

Clearance
Document Reference: 8.6.2

March 2024

Page 14 of 22

Species	Threshold	Charge size							
		0.5kg	25kg + donor	55kg + donor	120kg + donor	240kg + donor	525kg + donor	700kg + donor	800kg + donor
Minke whale	183 dB re 1μPa (LF)	0.32	2.2	3.2	4.7	6.5	9.5	10.0	11.0
Harbour seal & grey seal	185 dB re 1μPa (PCW)	0.06	0.39	0.57	0.83	1.1	1.6	1.9	2.0

4 Mitigation Methodology

4.1 Introduction

- 11. In order to minimise the risk of any auditory injury to marine mammals from underwater noise during UXO clearance operations, there are a variety of mitigation measures that the Applicant could implement in any combination for UXO clearance. These mitigation measures may include (but are not limited to) the following options:
 - Low-order clearance techniques such as deflagration;
 - The use of bubble curtains if any high-order detonation is required (taking into consideration the environmental limitations);
 - All UXO clearance operations to take place during day light hours and, when possible, in favourable weather conditions with good visibility (i.e. a sea state of 3 or less);
 - Establishment of a monitoring area with a minimum of 1-km radius. The observation of the monitoring area will be performed by dedicated and trained marine mammal observers (MMObs) during daylight hours and under suitable visibility;
 - Deployment of passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) systems, if required, and if equipment can be safely deployed and retrieved;
 - The activation of an acoustic deterrent device (ADD);
 - Other UXO clearance techniques, such as avoidance of UXO; or relocation of UXO. If more than one high-order detonation is required, other measures such as the use of scare charges; or multiple detonations, if UXO are in close proximity, will also be considered in consultation with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (SNCB).
- 12. The UXO clearance mitigation measures for the Project will be determined in consultation with relevant SNCBs once charge weights, survey data, noise data, and information on maturation of emerging technologies are confirmed. These additional data and information will inform noise modelling to be fed into the UXO Clearance MMMP and discussions on suitable mitigation measures.
- 13. The following sections provide a high-level outline of the information which would be contained within the UXO MMMP that will accompany a future Marine Licence application.

4.2 Mitigation Zone

14. The mitigation zone will be defined as the maximum potential instantaneous PTS-onset impact range. The Applicant will update the noise modelling prior to construction once the final UXO parameters are known. The JNCC (2010) recommends a mitigation zone of at least 1km for UXO detonation. The actual mitigation zone for UXO detonation will be determined based on the final noise modelling data, confirmed charge sizes and detonation methods. If the final noise modelling estimates result in a PTS-onset impact range larger than the 1-km suggested radius, the mitigation zone would be increased to cover the PTS-onset impact.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

4.3 Pre-UXO Clearance

Marine Mammal Observers (MMOb)

- 15. The JNCC (2010) recommends a minimum 60-minute pre-detonation search by a qualified MMOb within the visual mitigation zone for UXO detonation. If this measure is adopted, the MMOb would record monitoring periods, environmental conditions, and marine mammal sightings as per JNCC guidelines. Identified behavioural responses to ADD activation would also be documented.
- 16. If a marine mammal is detected during the pre-detonation search, the operation would be delayed until the MMOb confirms its departure from the mitigation zone and ensures a safe distance (defined as the PTS-onset range for the Project). The ADD's operation would be checked concurrently, and the MMOb would continue to monitor for sightings and animal behaviour.
- 17. The JNCC guidelines have stipulated complete MMOb roles in explosives for minimising marine mammal risks associated with explosive use (JNCC, 2010). Specific details on MMObs and methods will be updated in the UXO MMMP, considering any available guidance at that time.

Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM)

18. A PAM system, operated by a trained operator, may be used to supplement visual monitoring during daylight and in conditions of reduced visibility (e.g., night, fog, high sea state as per JNCC, 2010). If an animal is acoustically detected, the PAM operator would assess whether it is within the mitigation zone. If uncertainty exists about the exact location of the marine mammal, the PAM operator would recommend delaying UXO operations.

ADD Choice and Specification

- 19. The standard ADD used in UK waters at the point of writing is the Lofitech AS seal scarer. This ADD has demonstrated consistent effectiveness in deterring harbour seals, grey seals, harbour porpoises and minke whales, especially in conditions similar to offshore windfarm (OWF) construction sites (Sparling et al., 2015; McGarry et al., 2017). It has a successful track record in marine mammal mitigation at various European OWF projects, including C-Power Thornton Bank OWF in Belgium (Haelters et al., 2012), Horns Rev II, Nysted and Dan Tysk OWFs in Denmark (Carstensen et al., 2006; Brandt et al., 2016), and has been widely used for UK projects including Hornsea Project One, Hornsea Project Two, Dogger Bank A and the Sofia Offshore WindFarm UXO campaign amongst others.
- 20. Currently, there is no available published evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of ADDs on white-beaked dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus albirostris*) or bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). However, it is important to note that these deterrents only need to be effective within a limited range for white-beaked and bottlenose dolphins to mitigate the risk of auditory injury. Additionally, considering the lower densities of these species in the area compared to harbour porpoises, the likelihood of encountering white-beaked or bottlenose dolphins at the site is significantly reduced.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

21. It is important to note that there may be additional ADD models identified in the preconstruction phase for the Project that are available and suitable for use at that point in time. As such, if an ADD is identified as a mitigation measure within the Final Piling MMMP, the final ADD choice and specification would follow current best practice as advised by the relevant SNCB and would be approved by the MMO.

ADD Deployment Procedure

22. If an ADD is used during UXO detonation, one ADD would be deployed from the vessel, with the control unit and power supply on board in safe positions. Verification of ADD operations would be required before pre-detonation activation. The deployment procedure would be determined with the UXO contractor and would adhere to safe, standard practices, using experienced/trained staff to ensure proper ADD equipment use within varying vessel layouts.

ADD Duration of Deployment

- 23. The duration of ADD deployment would be calculated based on assumed swimming speeds to ensure that marine mammals are safely outside the mitigation zone when piling begins. An assumed swim speed of 1.5m/s would be applicable to all marine mammals except minke whales, for which a speed of 3.25m/s, would be assumed. These selected swim speeds are considered precautionary, as evidence suggests that animals often flee at much higher initial speeds. For instance, studies indicate that minke whales can flee ADDs at an average speed of 4.2m/s (McGarry *et al.*, 2017).
- 24. A study by Kastelein *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that captive harbour porpoises responded to pile driving sounds by swimming at significantly higher speeds than their baseline, reaching speeds of up to 1.97m/s sustained for a 30-minute test period. Another study by van Beest *et al.* (2018) showed that a harbour porpoise responded to airgun noise exposure with a fleeing speed of 2m/s.
- 25. During ADD operation, marine mammals are expected to continue moving away from the noise source. Additionally, the presence of other construction-type vessel activity on-site would be likely to induce animals to move away from the mitigation zone prior to commencement of detonation works.

ADD Operator Training and Responsibilities

26. A trained ADD operator would be responsible for ADD maintenance, operation, and reporting. Their duties would include deploying the ADD, verifying its operation, maintaining charged batteries and spare equipment, recording and reporting ADD and detonation activities. Before the MMOb's pre-detonation watch, the ADD operator would test and deploy the ADD to the agreed depth and distance. When the ADD is activated, the MMOb would ensure the mitigation zone is clear before the commencement of any UXO detonation.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

4.4 Noise Abatement

- 27. Technologies are available which attenuate the amount of noise emitted at the source (noise abatement). The use of bubble curtains during high-order UXO clearance activities is now standard best-practise for UXO clearance campaigns for offshore wind projects, with all projects since East Anglia One being required to use bubble curtains (subject to certain environmental limitations) for UXO detonations with combined charge sizes of greater than 50kg (TNT-equivalent).
- 28. An alternative approach to high-order explosive detonations would be low-order detonation, including a technique known as deflagration. Deflagration involves the sub-sonic burning of the explosive material, without generating an explosion, using a small, shaped charge which creates a plasma jet that penetrates the casing of the UXO and ignites the explosive material. Field measurements that compare low-order and high-order detonations reveal a significant reduction in peak sound levels and the overall acoustic energy of the detonation when deflagration is employed. It is worth noting that deflagration has been in use by the UK military since the early 2000s (Merchant and Robinson, 2019). Deflagration is now recommended as the primary detonation methodology to be used for UXO clearance by the MMO, and recent Marine Licence applications have promoted the use of this methodology, with high-order clearance as a back-up option in the event deflagration is not possible or fails.
- 29. It is anticipated that a combination of MMOb, PAM, short-duration ADD for both low and high-order detonations, and bubble curtains for high-order detonations would be more likely to reduce UXO clearance associated noise impacts on marine mammals.

4.5 Delays in Commencement of UXO Detonation

- 30. This section will provide details of the process which would be followed in the event of a delay in the commencement of UXO detonation once the ADD has been activated.
- 31. If there is a delay in the commencement of UXO detonation, there would be a risk of animals reentering the mitigation zone if ADDs are switched off. However, turning on ADDs for extended periods may lead to habituation. Therefore, ADDs would be promptly turned off during delays and reactivated when detonation is ready to commence. ADDs would be used for the minimum duration needed to ensure animals leave the mitigation zone, alongside ongoing visual and/or acoustic monitoring. The MMOb would continue visual searches during this time.

4.6 Communications

32. The UXO MMMP will specify a communication protocol for implementing marine mammal mitigation measures, including any UXO detonation delays due to marine mammal presence. It would also outline the roles and responsibilities of key personnel to ensure these mitigation measures are effectively carried out. Personnel details and roles will be finalised based on contractual agreements and mitigation needs.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance)

Document Reference: 8.6.2

Outline Plans

4.7 Reporting

- 33. Reports on UXO clearance and mitigation measures will be prepared, including, but not limited to:
 - Activity reference number (if applicable);
 - Date and location of act;
 - Operation details (e.g., charge size, detonation start times, watch times by MMOb(s), PAM use);
 - Summarised marine mammal sightings using "Marine Mammal Recording Forms";
 - Information on ADD and its effectiveness; and
 - Noted problems and instances of non-compliance with JNCC guidelines.
- 34. The final report will cover detonation events, mitigation methods, issues, sightings, behavioural observations, and potential protocol improvements.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance

5 References

Bellmann, M. A., Brinkmann, J., May, A., Wendt, T., Gerlach, S. and Remmers, P. (2020). Underwater noise during the impulse pile-driving procedure: Influencing factors on pile-driving noise and technical possibilities to comply with noise mitigation values. Supported by the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und nukleare Sicherheit (BMU)), FKZ UM16 881500. Commissioned and managed by the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH)), Order No. 10036866. Edited by the itap GmbH. Available at: https://www.bsh.de/EN/TOPICS/Offshore/Environmental assessments/Underwater sound/ Anlag en/Downloads/Download Experience Report Underwater.pdf? blob=publicationFile&v=1 (Accessed October 2023).

Brandt, M.J. Dragon, A., Diederichs, A., Schubert, A., Kosarev, V., Nehls, G., Wahl, V., Michalik, A., Braasch, A., Hinz, C., Katzer, C., Todeskino, D., Gauger, M., Laczny, M. and Piper, W. (2016). Effects of offshore pile driving on harbour porpoise abundance in the German Bight. Assessment of noise effects. Report by BioConsult SH, IBL Umweltplanung GmbH, and Institute of Applied Ecology (IfAO).

Carstensen, J., Henriksen, O. D. and Teilmann, J. (2006). 'Impacts of offshore windfarm construction on harbour porpoises: acoustic monitoring of echolocation activity using porpoise detectors (T-PODS). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 321, pp. 295-308.

Haelters, J., Van Roy, W., Vigin, L. and Degraer, S. (2012). The effect of pile driving on harbour porpoise in Belgian waters. Offshore windfarms in the Belgian part of the North Sea: Heading for an understanding of environmental impacts. Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, pp. 127-143.

JNCC (2010). 'JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from using explosives.'

JNCC. (2020). 'Guidance for assessing the significance of noise disturbance against Conservation Objectives of harbour porpoise SACs (England, Wales & Northern Ireland)', Report No. 654, JNCC, Peterborough.

Koschinski, S. and Lüdemann, K. (2020). 'Noise mitigation for the construction of increasingly large offshore wind turbines'. Technical Options for Complying with Noise Limits; The Federal Agency for Nature Conservation: Isle of Vilm, Germany.

McGarry, T. (2020). 'Evidence base for application of acoustic deterrent devices (ADD) as Marine Mammal Mitigation'. JNCC.

McGarry, T., Boisseau, O., Stephenson, S. and Compton, R. (2017). 'Understanding the Effectiveness of Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADDs) on Minke Whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), a Low Frequency Cetacean' (Report No. RPS Report EOR0692). Report by Offshore Renewables Joint Industry Programme (ORJIP). Report for Carbon Trust.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance Document Reference: 8.6.2

Outline Plans

Merchant, N.D. and Robinson, S.P. (2019). November. 'Abatement of underwater noise pollution from pile-driving and explosions in UK waters'. In Report of the UKAN workshop held on Tuesday (Vol. 12).

Sparling, C., Sams, C., Stephenson, S., Joy, R., Wood, J., Gordon, J., Thompson, D., Plunkett, R., Miller, B. and Götz, T. (2015). 'The use of Acoustic Deterrents for the mitigation of injury to marine mammals during pile driving for offshore windfarm construction' (Report No. ORJIP Project 4, Stage 1 of Phase 2). Report by SMRU Consulting. Report for Carbon Trust.

Verfuss, U.K., Sinclair, R.R. and Sparling, C.E. (2019). 'A review of noise abatement systems for offshore windfarm construction noise, and the potential for their application in Scottish waters', Scottish Natural Heritage Research Report No. 1070.

Weilgart, L.S. (2019). Best Available Technology (BAT) and Best Environmental Practice (BEP) for three noise sources: shipping, seismic airgun surveys, and pile driving. Journal of Ocean Technology, 14(3), pp.1-9.

Volume 8, Report 8b: Outline MMMP for UXO Clearance